AO 472 (Rev. 3/86) Order of Detention Pending Trial

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEBRASK.
District of NEBRASKA
UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 2008 JAN 22 PM 3: 59
V. ORDER OF DETENTION PRODUCT RIGHER
Case 4:08CR3009
Defendant In accordance with the Bail Deform Act, 18 U.S.C. 8 2142/C.
In accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), a detention hearing has been held. I conclude that the following facts require the detention of the defendant pending trial in this case.
Part I—Findings of Fact
or local offense that would have been a federal offense if a circumstance giving rise to federal local wind that
Li a service de violence de definica di 10 U.S.C. 6 3150(8)(4)
an offense for which the maximum sentence is life imprisonment or death. an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in
a felony that was committed after the defendant had been convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. \$ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or local offenses.
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed while the defendant was a second of the contract of the
for the offense described in finding (1). (4) Findings Nos. (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumption that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant is release of the defendant from imprisonment conditions will reasonably assure the
safety of (an) other person(s) and the community. I further find that the defendant has not rebutted this presumption.
Alternative Findings (A)
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense
under 18 U.S.C. 8 924(c) under 18 U.S.C. 8 924(c) 21 U.S.C. Sec. 801 et seg
X (2) The defendant has not rebutted the presumption established by finding 1 that no condition or combination of conditions will reasonably assured the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the computation.
the appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B)
(1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appear
(2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanger the safety of another person or the community.
Part II—Written Statement of Reasons for Detention
I find that the credible testimony and information submitted at the hearing establishes by
defaile of the evidence that
- Vet Walved Arg + squeed to decertion
at This time.
Part III—Directions Regarding Detention
to the extent practicable from persons assisting an according to the Attorney General or his designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate
reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defended a
Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the defendant to the United States or on request of an attorney for the in connection with a court proceeding.
1-22-08 1 /au 1 2.1
Date Signature of Judicial Officer
David L. Piester, U.S. Magistrate Judge
Name and Title of Judicial Officer

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).